

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 1. H_0: \mu = 47 & \mu_0 = 47 & \\
 H_a: \mu \neq 47 & \bar{x} = 44 & \mu \neq \mu_0 \\
 \text{T-Test Stats} & s_x = 3.2 & t = -3.63 \\
 & n = 15 & P = \text{[blacked out]} .0027
 \end{array}$$

reject H_0 at $\alpha = .05$

This provides strong evidence that the advertisement is misleading

T Interval $\bar{x} = 44, s_x = 3.2, n = 15, C\text{-level} = .95$

(42.228, 45.772) does not contain 47, so our conclusion is the same

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 2. H_0: \mu = 72 & \bar{x} = 75 & t = 1.39 \\
 H_a: \mu \neq 72 & \sigma \text{ or } s = 9.4 & P = .1811 > .05 \\
 \text{TTest} & n = 19 & \text{fail to reject } H_0
 \end{array}$$

or $H_a: \mu > 72$

$$t = 1.39$$

$$P = .09057 > .05$$

fail to reject H_0

This does not provide

strong evidence that the new method is different/better

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 3. H_0: \mu = 7.5 & \mu_0 = 7.5 & t = 1.538... \\
 H_a: \mu \neq 7.5 & \text{List: } L_1 & P = .158 > .05 \\
 \text{TTest Data} & \mu \neq \mu_0 & \text{fail to reject } H_0
 \end{array}$$

This is not strong evidence that the manufacturing is incorrect

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 4. H_0: \mu = 100 & \mu_0 = 100 & t = 4.38 \\
 H_a: \mu > 100 & \bar{x} = 112 & P = 7.03 \times 10^{-5} < .05 \\
 \text{TTest Stats} & \sigma = 15 & \text{reject } H_0 \\
 & n = 30 &
 \end{array}$$

This is sufficient evidence to think her sample is above average intelligence

5. $H_0: \mu = 300$ $\mu_0 = 300$
 $H_a: \mu \neq 300$ $\bar{x} = 295$ $t = -1.7677$
 $s_x = 20$ $p = .0833 > .05$
 T-Test $n = 50$
 $\mu \neq \mu_0$ fail to reject H_0

this is not sufficient evidence to think run times are not 5 hrs.

6. $H_0: \mu = 52$ $\mu = 52$ $t = 1.77$
 $H_a: \mu > 52$ $\bar{x} = 52.80$ $p = .0392 \dots < .05$
 T Test $s_x = 4.5$
 $n = 100$ reject H_0
 $\mu > \mu_0$

This is strong evidence to think the average cost of textbooks is higher than \$52.00

7. $H_0: \mu = 34$ $\mu_0 = 34$ $n = 50$ $z = -1.3258$
 $H_a: \mu < 34$ $\bar{x} = 32.5$ $\mu < \mu_0$ $p = .0924$
 $s_x = 8$
 T Test or Z Test fail to reject H_0 at both 4% & 1% levels

This is not sufficient evidence that the levels are lower

- 8. answers will vary
- what was the sample size?
- Is the data good?
- Is the difference significant in a practical way or just a statistical way?